

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	and the second s	A Company of the Comp			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,633	11/05/2002	Yaw-Yuh Yang	8237-US-PA	8237-US-PA 4230	
31561 7:	590 07/21/2003				
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			EXAMINER		
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO		
TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER *2	
22 11 1			2835		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			am
33	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/065,633	YANG, YAW-YUH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app P riod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	une 2003		
	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under be			
Disposition of Claims	the continution		
4) Claim(s) 1,3,5-7,9 and 11-16 is/are pending in			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-7,9 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	oloction requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2002</u> is/ar		o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			•
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		•	. ' -
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second se	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application	۱).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	·	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/065,633

Art Unit: 2835

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The examiner acknowledges the submission of the amendment filed on 06/30/03. At this time claims 2, 4, 8,10 are canceled, claims 1,3,7,9 and 13 are amended. Thus claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11-16 are pending in the instant application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,3,5,6,7,9,11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art disclosed in the instant application in view of Liu et al. The prior art disclosed in the instant application (see Fig. 3) shows the packaging structure of the claimed invention including the flange 144 except a ringed projection being formed on the top of the heat slug so that the molding compound encapsulating the chip would not cover the portion of the heat slug externally exposing the outer heat dissipating surface. Liu discloses the heat slug 1 having ring projection 13 integrally formed with the slug to prevent the encapsulating compound to cover the portion of the heat slug 1 (col.1, lines 59—67). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have one or more ring projections as disclosed by Liu et al. in the structure shown in the instant application as the prior art for allowing the exposed portion of the heat slug to efficiently dissipate heat. Regarding to claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 14, 15, Liu discloses the ring projection except

Application/Control Number: 10/065,633

Art Unit: 2835

specifying its size. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the ring projections of being from 10 μm to 20 μm in height and from 100 μm to 500 μm in width since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

The method steps of claims 13-16 are necessitated by the device structure as shown on Fig. 3 of the instant application and disclosed by Liu et al.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 (content in the content in the conten

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/065,633

Art Unit: 2835

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later .
than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115.

Boris Chérvinsky Primary Examiner

July 18, 2003